## I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART THIRTEEN OF SIXTEEN

*Draining the Swamp: The List of Federal "Cash for Kids" Judges Continues On.*

Page


I. TABLE OF CONTENTS……... 1

II. THE FEDERAL "CASH FOR KIDS" JUDGES CONTINUED……..... 2

1. Judge Sarah E. Pitlyk- *Dean v. Russell*, E.D.MO……... 2
2. Judge Stephen R. Clark, Judge Noelle C. Collins, & Judge Catherine D. Perry- *Dean v. Biden*, E.D.MO……..... 8
3. Judge Monica Ramirez Almadani, *Dean v. State of California*, C.D.CA…….. 10

## II. THE FEDERAL CASH FOR KIDS JUDGES CONTINUED

1. **Judge Sarah E. Pitlyk**

Case No. 4:23-cv-01280, Eastern District of Missouri, *Dean v. Russell*:

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/50914775/Dean_v_Russell

Case Text: Defendant Pitlyk stands accused of unlawfully terminating the Claimant's case, which challenged the unconstitutional policies enacted by Defendant Mary R. Russell. These policies were used to facilitate the trafficking of the Plaintiff's daughters to a pedophile and domestic offender— an individual who holds government clearance, further compounding the severity of the abuse and misconduct. The order states, "ORDER OF DISMISSAL pursuant to 28USC1915(e)(2)(B) - IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED than an appeal from this dismissal would not be taken in good faith. Signed by District Judge Sarah E. Pitlyk on 05/31/2024. (KRZ)"

Parallel Patterns of Trafficking in the Courts: Nearly 20 of the federal cases filed by

Dean, alleging serious crimes such as, child abduction, attempted murder, human trafficking, sexual abuse, and financial exploitation, as well as nearly 30 lawsuit against Jeffrey Epstein's estate and other defendants, have repeatedly faced dismissals by these very courts under questionable circumstances. Despite presenting credible allegations supported by witness testimony and undeniable evidence, these cases are consistently dismissed as 'frivolous,' 'insufficiently supported,' or on procedural grounds without full consideration of the merits. Similar patterns emerge in other lawsuits related to Epstein and associated parties, where powerful interests appear to influence legal outcomes, effectively silencing victims and obstructing justice. For example C. Latham's case against Epstein, *Latham v. The 1953 Trust,* was dismissed despite detailed allegations of trafficking and abuse by a broad criminal enterprise. Other cases involving JP Morgan's role in Epstein's operations were resolved through settlements in an arbitrary court rather than a criminal trial in the court of law, limiting public accountability. This pattern of dismissal or suppression identically mirrors the experience in Dean's own cases, where despite serious claims of child trafficking, financial misconduct, and money laundering, courts have repeatedly dismissed or disregarded her filings. Such repeated, unjust dismissals prove the existence of systemic obstruction within the judiciary and the legal system, serving to protect elite individuals and entities from scrutiny and liability, while denying victims their day in court.

Parallel Patterns of Trafficking: Plaintiff Alexandra M. Dean's testimony and experience as a survivor of child trafficking is shockingly parallel, in striking and disturbing ways, to the claims brought forward by Charlene Latham in *Latham v. Epstein*, SDNY Case No. 1:20-cv-07102-UA. Like Latham, Dean is a survivor of an intergenerational human

trafficking network that operated with the full knowledge, support, and active participation of powerful individuals and government entities, including members of the judiciary, state agencies, and law enforcement. In both cases, the trafficking began in childhood under the control of family members connected to financial and corporate structures used to conceal the abuse. Dean alleges that in her early childhood, her mother, Gina M. Dean, knowingly and willfully engaged in trafficking her and her sisters to Richard T. Pisani (President of the Saint Louis Ambassadors Club), who is Dean's maternal grandfather, and to the members of the Saint Louis Ambassadors Club, a private organization operating in the St. Louis, Missouri area.

Dean further alleges that the Saint Louis Ambassadors Club, while publicly presented as a charitable and community-focused organization, operates under highly suspicious and exclusionary practices that facilitate and conceal illegal activities such as the trafficking of children. The organization remains strictly invite-only, with women historically excluded from membership, reinforcing a culture of secrecy and control. Leadership within the Club is historically dominated by prominent, well-known families—including the Schnuck (Schnucks Markets), Desloge (Mining and Real Estate), Maritz (Maritz Holdings), and Kemper families (Commerce Bank)—and remains predominantly white.

These families represent some of the oldest, wealthiest, and most influential lineages in the St. Louis metropolitan area. This insular leadership structure suggests a closed network resistant to outside scrutiny. Financial records reveal a troubling disparity in the Club's allocation of funds: the majority of the budget—ranging from $400,000 to $860,000—is directed towards internal organizational events, while charitable giving averages only about $100,000 annually. This disproportionate spending pattern strongly suggests that the organization prioritizes its internal social functions and possibly conceals illicit activities rather than fulfilling its stated philanthropic mission. Furthermore, Dean's adoptive father, Duwain W. Dean, operates under the shell corporation "NBC Communications" utilizing that corporate veil, and his connections with Richard T. Pisani, to facilitate the trafficking of Alexandra and her sisters during the 1990s. Moreover, Duwain Dean has refused to answer all court subpoenas regarding confirmation of his financial income. These crimes occurred in places such as the Cahokia Mounds of Illinois and the Disney Hotel in Florida, which were established trafficking exchange points during that time. One of Dean's sisters died as a direct result of this abuse and her death has been concealed and labeled a conspiracy in court documents within the 21st Judicial Circuit Court of Saint Louis County. See Video Evidence:

https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:VA6C2:7287007f-7fb8-48ea-af38-7536405d7fa6

Although Alexandra escaped the trafficking network at a young age, the systemic abuse reemerged with the birth of her own children, just as it did in Latham's case. The same institutional machine that failed to protect Alexandra as a child reactivated against her in

adulthood—kidnapping her children under the pretense of court orders and fabricated allegations, using corrupt law enforcement in St. Louis City, and delivering her children into the custody of Brian Wach "Government", a 55-year-old pedophile who has a known and documented police history of sexually harassing, stalking, and physically assaulting Alexandra while she was pregnant with her oldest daughter (Police Complaint No. 2016-0939). These actions were authorized and enforced by the 21st Judicial Circuit Court of St. Louis County, which has demonstrated a pattern of the same misconduct described by Latham in her case. Both women describe: (a) The unlawful infringement of parental rights without due process; (b) The concealment and destruction of evidence implicating state and private actors in trafficking networks; (c) The weaponization of family courts, child protective services, and law enforcement to isolate, silence, and disappear victims and their children. Dean asserts that Missouri's judiciary, particularly the Family Court Division of the 21st Judicial Circuit, has become a known actor in trafficking-related conspiracy, functioning under the same RICO enterprise described in *The Epstein Files*. The same techniques—sealing of records, falsification of reports, gaslighting of survivors, and the trafficking of children into the control of known abusers—are not coincidental. They reflect a standard operating procedure of systemic child exploitation protected by law enforcement, financed through corporate subsidiaries and shell companies, and disguised under the color of state law. As in Latham's case, Dean's efforts to secure justice have been met with institutional stonewalling, illegal dismissal of claims, retaliation, and refusal to investigate or unseal evidence critical to proving trafficking. Dean's testimony, like Latham's, is not merely personal—it is representative of a widespread, interjurisdictional criminal enterprise that targets,

commodifies, and silences vulnerable children and parents in the United States under the pretense of child protection and family law. The circumstances surrounding NBC Communications and its purported owner, Duwain W. Dean, mirror a broader pattern of financial concealment seen in high-profile litigation such as Charlene Latham's case against the Epstein estate. In both instances, individuals appear to rely on non-operational or minimally documented entities to obscure the true source and extent of their wealth. In the Latham case, shell companies and trusts were strategically deployed to hide assets, deflect liability, and evade scrutiny—despite clear evidence of financial entanglements with known actors in Epstein's criminal enterprise. Similarly, NBC Communications exhibits no signs of genuine commercial activity—no workforce, no revenue, no regulatory filings—yet it is linked to assets and a lifestyle far beyond what could be supported by a legitimate but inactive company. This parallel further proves Alexandra's claims that her father Duwain W. Dean is engaged in a covert network of child trafficking and financial laundering on behalf of high-level elite interests.

2. **Judge Stephen R. Clark, Judge Noelle C. Collins, & Judge Catherine D. Perry**

Case: Case No. 4:25-cv-00001, Eastern District of Missouri, *Dean v. Biden*: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/56376811/Dean_et_al_v_Biden_et_al_

Case Text: Defendants Clark, Collins, and Perry are accused of unlawfully dismissing a case brought by multiple law-abiding Americans seeking the release of their minor children from unlawful state custody. None of the Plaintiffs in this matter have been charged with any crimes, yet they have been subjected to parental alienation and punitive measures by the state as if they had been convicted of serious offenses. As a result, this RICO action was filed by WE *the* PEOPLE to seek remedy for the destruction of families and property caused by the Biden-Harris administration's policies during the COVID-19 pandemic, a time where they were disappearing 300,000 immigrants children. COVID mandates imposed on the courts were weaponized to facilitate child trafficking, depriving Plaintiff(s) of due process by stripping them of their parental rights through virtual hearings conducted via Zoom—without a fair trial—under the pretext that "court was not in session due to COVID." This scheme involved the misapplication of laws intended for criminal cases to civil custody matters, enabling the unlawful removal of children from their homes and the termination of parental rights without admissible

evidence. Despite nearly 20 children in this case seeking reunification with their biological parents—there are still either zero signs of life from these children, they remain in the custody of pedophiles, domestic offenders, abusive guardians, or they have been strategically trafficked and classified as "run aways." The cruel and unjust order issued by these Defendants states: ORDER OF DISMISSAL: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED AS MALICIOUS. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that an appeal from this action would not be taken in good faith. Signed by Sr. District Judge Catherine D. Perry on 1/23/2025. (TMT)


News | US-Mexico Border
Migrant children in US: The 'cards are stacked against' them
Children travelling alone in search of protection are allowed into the US, but face a host of hurdles once they arrive.
Separating immigrant families is a cruel means to a cruel end ...
Visit
Images may be subject to copyright. Learn More
US Scrambles to Explain Accounts of 'Missing' Children
Visit
Images may be subject to copyright. Learn More
The Trump Administration's Harsh Immigration Policies Are Harming ...
Visit
Copyright: 2010 Sandy Huffaker
Migrants Say They Are Still Being Threatened With Child Separation ...
Visit
Images may be subject to copyright. Learn More

3. **Judge Monica Ramirez Almadani**

Case: Case No. 2:25-cv-00530, Central District of California, *Dean v. State of California*: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/56621529/AlexandraMarie_Dean_et_al_v_The_State_of_California_et_al

Case Text: Defendant Almadani is accused of unlawfully terminating WE *the* PEOPLE's case, in which this corrupt organization was held accountable for committing mass acts of eco-terrorism against the people and wildlife of California during the January 2025 wildfires. The order states: "ORDER ON REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (NON-PRISONER CASE)2 by Judge Monica Ramirez Almadani. As explained in the attached statement, the Request is DENIED because the District Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Furthermore, because the deficiencies in the complaint

cannot be cured by amendment, this case is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. (MD JS-6, Case Terminated.) (lom)" In this case, the Plaintiff(s) from California sought to hold the Defendants, including the Biden administration, accountable for the unlawful detention of their minor children and the pillaging of their families and properties. This request was denied by Defendant Almadani, in direct violation of President Trump's "Smoke & Mirror" executive orders, including: I. Ending Radical and Wasteful DEI Programs – Disbanding federal Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion initiatives. II. Restoring Accountability in the Federal Workforce – Limiting protections for political appointees in federal jobs. III. Ending the Weaponization of the Federal Government. Despite the fact these executive orders proved to be bogus and unenforceable, Defendant Almadani is still directly responsible for the blatant disregard of the people's Notice to Enforce these federal and executive mandates, thereby undermining the rule of law. See Exhibit: Notice to Enforce, https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:VA6C2:343e7053-fdd3-4251-bbd4-2fb3818e2aa2

The Palisades Fire ravages a neighborhood an
on Tuesday. (Ethan Swope/AP)

One Nation Under God
"They will wage war against the Lamb. but the lamb will triumph over them because he is Lord of lords and King of kings- and with him will be his called chosen and faithful followers. "
Revelation 17:14